

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMERCE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

00/720,041			Washington, D.C. 20231		
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORN	EY DOCKET NO.
08/720,091	09/27/96	SMITH		S	760-3

HOFFMANN AND BARON 350 JERICHO TURNPIKE JERICHO NY 11753

33M1/0417

EXAMINER NGUYEN, T 3308 5

DATE MAILED:

04/17/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE	ACTION	CHEMINA

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 September	1996	
☐ This action is FINAL.			
Since this application is in condition for allowant accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu	iayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.	G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this acc whichever is lenger, from the mailing date of this co the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 1.136(a).	tion is set to expire (3) mmunication. Failure to re 133). Extensions of time	three espond within to may be obtained	month(s), or thirty days, he period for response will cause ad under the provisions of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims			:
🔀 Claim(s) 1	- 23		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
⊠ Claim(s) ! -	27	-	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
Claims		are subje	ect to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pat	ent Drawing Review, PTO-	948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	i	/are objected	to by the Examiner.
\square . The proposed drawing correction, filed on			is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examina		•	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• .		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).	ú
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIE	ED copies of the priority doc	cuments have l	been
received.	•		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Se	rial Number)		
received in this national stage application from	om the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e)	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-144	9, Paper No(s)4	_	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	w. PTO-948		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	?		
*** *****			

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/720,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 3308

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: in Figure 6, element t_2 , as described on page 11, line 10. Correction is required.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- (1) On page 7, line 12, after "once" delete "the". In line 29, after "therethrough" insert -- can
- (2) On page 10, line 16, fill in the blank space before "filed".
- On page 11, line 10, applicant has disclosed Figure 6 as showing transverse dimension t₂', however, Figure 7 shows transverse dimension t₂', not Figure 6. Did applicant intend to disclose Figure 7? If so, please replace "Figure 6" with -- Figure 7 -- In line 18, after "in" insert -- a --
- (4) On page 12, line 31, after "such" replace "a" with -- as --.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Serial Number: 08/720,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 3308

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al. (WO Patent No. 95/05132) in view of Hubis (U.S. Patent No. 4,478,665), for the following reason:
- With respect to claims 1-23, Robinson discloses an intraluminal stent assembly comprising a radially expandable stent (10) having a longitudinal stent axis and a stent cover (20) positioned about said stent and being formed of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE), said stent cover being oriented in a first direction and expanded in a second direction transverse to said first so as to decrease the length of said stent cover from its original length, said longitudinal axis of said stent being aligned with said second direction, so that said stent cover is expandable in said first direction to its original length upon said radial expansion of said stent to control radial expanse of said stent (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the stent cover is wrapped about the stent and a seam (45) is formed by compression and adhesion of the overlapped edges of the stent cover (pages 7-9, Example 1). However, Myers et al. fails to disclose an unsintered expanded PTFE stent cover. Hubis teaches the use of unsintered or sintered ePTFE articles such as films, tubes or rods for medical use (col. 1, lines 20-30 and col. 3, lines 45-46). It would have been obvious to one of

Serial Number: 08/720,091

Art Unit: 3308

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the stent cover of Myers et al.

out of unsintered ePTFE, as taught by Hubis, since it has been held to be within the

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability

for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Hubis (U.S. Patent No. 4,385,093), Bowman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,482,516), and

Bowman (U.S. 4,598,011) also disclose the use of either sintered or unsintered ePTFE medical

articles. Hess (U.S. Patent No. 5,197,978) and Gaterud et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,522,882) and

Robinson et al. (EP Patent No. 0 657 147 A2) also disclose a stent having a stent cover.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding this

application should be directed to Tram Nguyen at (703) 308-0804/(703)305-3590 (FAX). If you

are unable to reach me, please contact my supervisor, John Weiss, at (703) 308-2702. In a case

requiring immediate assistance, please call (703) 308-0858 to reach the main operator.

TAN

April 14, 1997

DEBRA'S. BRITTINGHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY 3300

Page 4